

Final: post - midterm material (estimators - CI , hypothesis testing)

Review:

I. before - midterm : probability

① common distributions :

$$\text{discrete} \quad \begin{cases} \text{Bernoulli}(p) : E[X] = p, \text{var}[X] = p(1-p) & X \in \{0, 1\} \\ \text{Binomial}(n, p) : E[X] = np, \text{var}[X] = np(1-p) & X \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \\ \text{Poisson}(\lambda) : E[X] = \text{var}[X] = \lambda & X \in \{0, 1, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Continuous} \quad \begin{cases} N(\mu, \sigma^2) : E[X] = \mu, \text{var}[X] = \sigma^2 & X \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \text{ w.pff } f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} : E[X] = \alpha\beta, \text{var}[X] = \alpha\beta^2 \\ \text{Exponential}(\lambda) = \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}) & X \geq 0 \\ \chi_n^2 = \text{Gamma}(\frac{n}{2}, 2) \end{cases}$$

② MGF : $M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}] \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$E[X] = M'_X(0), \dots, E[X^k] = M^{(k)}_X(0) \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

$$M_{ax+b}(t) = e^{bt} \cdot M_X(at)$$

$$X \perp Y \Rightarrow M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$$

↓

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$$

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$$

Continuity Thm: $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots \rightarrow X$

$$M_{X_n}(t) \rightarrow M_X(t) \text{ for all } t$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x) \text{ for all } x \text{ at which } F_X$$

allows us to prove the convergence of distribution is cont at based on MGFs

③ CLT : $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} E[X_i] = \mu, \text{var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 < \infty$, then

$$\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

when n is large (> 20), $\bar{X}_n \stackrel{\text{approx}}{\sim} N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$

①

④ Delta method (Taylor expansion) — approximation of E & Var after
 $E[\bar{X}] = \mu_x$, $\text{var}[\bar{X}] = \sigma_x^2$
 $E[g(\bar{X})] \approx g(\mu_x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_x^2 \cdot g''(\mu_x)$
 $\text{var}[g(\bar{X})] \approx \sigma_x^2 \cdot [g'(\mu_x)]^2$

③ + ④: X_1, \dots, X_n iid with $E[X_i] = \mu$, $\text{var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 < \infty$
approximate the dist of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$.

Ans: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i) \underset{\text{approx}}{\sim} N(g(\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 g''(\mu), \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 [g'(\mu)]^2)$

⑤ Normal distribution derivatives

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } N(0, 1) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$$

$$\overbrace{S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}^{S_n^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2}$$

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

$$E[S_n^2] = \text{var}[\bar{X}_i]$$

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \bar{X}_n \perp S_n^2 \quad \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$X \sim N(0, 1), S^2 \sim \chi_{df}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{X}{\sqrt{S^2/df}} \sim t_{df}$$

$$\text{So} \quad \frac{\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S_n^2/\sigma^2}{n-1}}} = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{S_n/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

$$X \sim \chi_n^2, Y \sim \chi_m^2, X \perp Y \Rightarrow \frac{X/n}{Y/m} \sim F_{n,m}$$

$$\text{So } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } N(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2), Y_1, \dots, Y_m \text{ iid } N(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$$

$$\frac{(n-1)S_x^2}{\sigma_x^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$\frac{(m-1)S_y^2}{\sigma_y^2} \sim \chi_{m-1}^2$$

$$\text{So} \quad \frac{\frac{(n-1)S_x^2}{\sigma_x^2}/(n-1)}{\frac{(m-1)S_y^2}{\sigma_y^2}/(m-1)} = \frac{S_x^2/\sigma_x^2}{S_y^2/\sigma_y^2} \sim F_{n-1, m-1}$$

II. post-medterm : Statistics

① estimators

a random sample $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F_\theta$

$\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an estimator of θ

How to construct $\hat{\theta}$?

Approach 1: method of moments (MOM)

$$\bar{X}_n = E[X_i] = h(\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MOM} = h^{-1}(\bar{X}_n)$$

If θ is 2-dimensional, e.g. $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\left\{ \bar{X}_n = E[X_i] = h_1(\theta) \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = E[X_i^2] = h_2(\theta) \right.$$

Approach 2: maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

$$\text{likelihood: } L(\theta) = \begin{cases} P(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i; \theta) \\ f(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i; \theta) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{e.g. } X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{\theta}{2} \\ 2 & \text{w.p. } \frac{\theta}{2} \\ 3 & \text{w.p. } 1-\theta \end{cases} \quad 0 < \theta < 1 \quad P(X_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{I(X_i=1 \text{ or } 2)} \cdot (1-\theta)^{I(X_i=3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=1 \text{ or } 2)} \cdot (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=3)} \\ = \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\#\text{ of 1's and 2's among } X_1, \dots, X_n} \cdot (1-\theta)^{\#\text{ of 3's}}$$

$$\text{log-likelihood: } \ell(\theta) = (\#\text{ of 1's and 2's}) (\log \theta - \log 2) + (\#\text{ of 3's}) \cdot \log(1-\theta)$$

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{\#\text{ of 1's and 2's}}{\theta} - \frac{\#\text{ of 3's}}{1-\theta}$$

$$\text{Setting } \ell'(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\#\text{ of 1's and 2's}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=1 \text{ or } 2)}{n}$$

② estimator properties:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) &= E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta \\ \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) &= E[(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}])^2] \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = \text{bias}^2(\hat{\theta}) + \text{var}(\hat{\theta})$$

Cramér-Rao Inequality: if $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased $\Rightarrow \text{var}[\hat{\theta}] \geq \frac{1}{n I(\theta)}$
 $X \sim f_\theta$ pdf.

$$I(\theta) := E\left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f_\theta(X)\right)^2\right] = \int \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f_\theta(x)\right)^2 f_\theta(x) dx \\ = -E\left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f_\theta(X)\right]$$

If $\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$, $\text{var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{1}{n I(\theta)} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}$ minimum variance unbiased estimator

MLE theory: $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ approx $N(\theta, \frac{1}{n I(\theta)})$ when n is large.

③ CI level: $(1-\alpha)$ $0 < \alpha < 1$ e.g. $\alpha = 0.05$, level = 95%.

$(1-\alpha)$ CI of θ : use the dist of $\hat{\theta}$

Say $\hat{\theta} \sim F_\theta$ CDF of $\hat{\theta}$

$$P(F_\theta^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \leq \hat{\theta} \leq F_\theta^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})) = 1-\alpha$$

↑ reorganize the 2 inequalities

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_L \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_U$$

↓ ↓

2 "random" boundaries of the $(1-\alpha)$ CI

④ hypothesis testing:

$H_0, H_1, \alpha, T \Rightarrow$ rejection region

↑

dist of T under H_0

p-value = $P_{H_0}(T \text{ is more extreme than its realization})$

type I error = $P_{H_0}(\text{rejection region})$

type II error = $P_{H_1}(\text{do not reject})$

power = $1 - \text{type II error} = P_{H_1}(\text{rejection region})$

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$

e.g. $H_0: \mu = 0$, $H_1: \mu > 0$

what is the rejection region

if $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$? or if $T = X_1$?

which is more powerful?